

Island Governance and Moon-Young Lee's Public Administration

Chang Hoon Ko
Jeju National University, Korea
mrsom016@hanmail.net

Abstract

It's a time to actualize new democratic governance toward island governance for another Island civilization. The aim of this article is to illuminate some relationships between island governance and Public Administration of Moon-young Lee upon his experiences and insights on leading Korean democracy toward united world government where all sovereignties and entities have equal power.

1. Introduction

If we try to find something about island governance from Public Administration of Moon-young Lee (PAL), I want to review his ideas related to important elements are common to island governance “ for islands: (1) the geo-strategic role and ‘imperial connection’, (2) the constitutional order and political system operating within an island and its neighborhood, (3) dominant policy concerns, including economic and social dislocation, and the choice of development strategy; the government’s role and administrative capacity, and (4) islander identity, worldview and social organization classified patterns, issues and agendas in the governance of European Islands by Edward Warrington and David Milne (Warrington and Milne 2007). According to them,

“Island civilization are comparatively rare: the modern period has produced just one in each of the western and eastern hemispheres at geo-political profile – Britain and Japan. As for political system, an island civilization’s comparative security seems to favor constitutional stability. In Britain it exemplified by the British doctrine of parliamentary sovereignty. In Japan, the supposedly divine origin of Japan’s imperial line. As for dominant policy concern, island civilization produce models of public administration (Britain) and enterprise management (Britain, Japan) that are widely admired. Some elements of the political system become incorporated into the islanders’ collective identity such as British imperialists and by the Empire’s colonial subjects and enemies exemplified this (Tirrick,1990... The typology consists of seven patterns of island

governance labeled as follow ... civilization; fief; fortress; refuge; settlement; plantation; entrepot.)”

In essence, it seems to me PAL is looking for a more civilized island governance rather than model of British and Japan on the earth: I think that PAL assumes a model of Asian democracy by a true human to contribute to peace island policy in Asia Pacific context. Firstly he suggested a model of Asian democracy, which was created from a group of ordinary Korean citizens had been participating in Sam-Il-Movements demonstration under Japanese Military colony in 1919. On March 1, 1976, it was so impressive that 11 leaders proclaim Korean democracy came from Sam-Il-Sa-Sang at Myungdong Catholic Church at the heart of Seoul. Secondly he evaluate highly the decision of Korean government designate Jeju island as a World Peace Island on January 27, 2005, which was expected to play a peaceful role between two Koreas and in the Asia Pacific context even though it has some limits as a small island. Thirdly, Jeju people try to pursue ‘environmentally peace Island’ for their future in the Asia Pacific such as a neutralized peace island model of Costa Rica in the central America context (1949),¹ a peace island model of Aaland of Finland (1919) in the Baltic context², and a peace island model of Spitz Bergen of Norway in the North Arctic context (1920).³ Fourthly, Jeju island need to make an international treaty so called World Peace Island Treaty for 6 Talks Countries to guarantee its more neutralize role for Asia Pacific Peace as same functions as ones of Demilitarized Zone between two Koreas as the result of a cease-fire agreement of Korean War. In the end, it’s a time for us to actualize new democratic governance toward island governance for another Island civilization. Naturally speaking, the aim of this article is to illuminate some relationships between Island Governance and Public Administration of Moon-young Lee upon his experiences and insights on leading Korean democracy toward united world government with all sovereignties and entities having equal power.

¹ “Costa Rica” World Desk Reference. Retrieved 2009-06-09.

² Aaland of Finland. 1920 . The Act on the Autonomy of Åland of 1920.

³ Norway. The Spitsbergen Treaty of 9 February 1920.

2. Humans Make Governance Work well or worst: His insights and experiences in the formation of Korean governance contexts.

It is typical that he starts to say about story of his public administration based on humans as an original point of it. He mentions that definition of “true man” is the same in both the East and West. He said that “Only one who has gone through this process can be at the starting point of the second group life, then can make the church the teacher, and the state.”

“In *The Analects of Confucius*, he is called a noble gentleman. In the “Sermon on the Mount,” Jesus said a happy person should store up his treasures in heaven. When we give to the poor, fast, and when we pray, we should not attract attention to our actions. The person who throws out an unjustly obtained high position and material possessions becomes a standard of the teaching of Confucianism which encourages seeking self-reflection, and confession in Buddhism in which we express our commitment not to commit sins again, realizing future sins in advance. Jesus said we should confess our sins first, and then we will go to Heaven. Only one who has gone through this process can be at the starting point of the second group life, then can make the church the teacher, and the state. Human does not come after the church or state, but precedes them” (Lee 2001: 5).

He mentions he can rebirth as ‘newly discovered human in the context of Korean democratic governance through participation in signing the Declaration for Democratic National Salvation on March 1st in 1975.

“I was imprisoned for the first time for signing the Declaration for Democratic National Salvation on March 1st in 1975 that asked for revocation of the Constitution for Revitalizing Reform. I think of this as a past-oriented movement since this “work movement” was a return to the past when we had a democratic constitution” (Lee 2001: 57).

“In retrospect, Korea is not a western country. Western democracy was the result of a political culture with a two-party system which prophetic leaders outside government power formed, daring rulers and risking their lives. We don’t even have an association to aid and support outside institutions that check the government. It was a small relief that under this dark prospect, 11 Christians including Kim Dae-jung made a declaration to rescind the Constitution for Revitalizing Reform in Myungdong Cathedral on Mar. 1. 1976. Among this group, several people, including Kim Dae-jung, were imprisoned on a

charge of conspiracy of inciting a rebellion in May 1980. Kim Dae-jung, a politician, finally became president in 1998. Of course, Korea is not a Christian country. As Puritans established the United States through consolidation with many liberals, Kim Dae-jung made a lateral turnover of political power the first time in 50 years and with the participation of ordinary people which evolved through the June 29th resistance, the National Congress political party and the cooperation of Kim Dae-jung and Kim Jong-pil. In this historical vein, I think highly of the fact that 11 Christians consolidated based on the Bible, breaking away from their interests and the yoke of each denomination” (Lee 2001: 42).

3. Korean Democracy as a Model of “The Classics and the Collaborative Rule” within Framework of World Government

It is remarkable to him that “The Classics and the Collaborative Rule’ would become “World Government” and I am collecting documents for that.

3.1 National context of Jeju Island Collaborative Governance Model

If a world government is formed as a body of consolidated nations, my country and the United States will become one state. Therefore, the true nation state ends being a nation state when the people no longer want it. Moreover, with the right character, a country does not pursue unreasonable invasion and rule against neighboring countries. In this vein, this book reviews five sagas in *Genesis* of the writer J who discerned the shade of collapse of the country that indulged in superficial prosperity and *The City of God* of Augustine who sensed the fall of the Roman Empire (Lee 2001: 8).

In this vein, in November of 1999, when he writes his book, we have a long discussion about his idea on connectedness among Jeju island governance, Korean democracy and World Government on the phone to prepare for next steps of an Age of Korean unification.

Lee: We should prepare for Unification Korean government through establishing “United Three States of Korea: South Korea, North Korea, Jeju Island Korea in a nearer future if Korean government invite the Jeju Special Self-Governing Province into scheme of Korean government system.

Ko: What you means Jeju autonomous province as same status of Hong Kong.

Lee: More autonomous system has self determination critical policy issues except some areas of Defense and National Diplomacy in next decade.

Ko: Desirable. As Jeju islanders have experienced hardships and frustration from the Jeju April 3rd

Grand Tragedy between World War Second and the Korean War. They want to contribute to world peace as one of peace island as role of the Costa Rica in the Central America in a future.

According to the Edward Warrington and David Milne (2007: 379-380), "islands and 'islandness' may best be understood in terms of a characteristics set of tensions and ambiguities, opportunities and constraints arising from the interplay of geography and history. Geography tends towards isolation: it permits or favours autarchy, distinctness, stability and evolution propelled endogenously. History, on the other hand, tends towards contacts: it permits or favours dependence (or interdependence), assimilation, change and evolution propelled exogenously. An island's character develops from the interplay of geography and history, evasions and invasions, the indigenous and the exotic... Island Civilizations are comparatively rare: the modern period has produced just one each of the western and eastern hemispheres-Britain and Japan... An island civilization thus constitutes the plenitude of insular autonomy, the antithesis of the vulnerability so commonly attributed to islands..."

" 'Governance' extends beyond the framework of institutions and activities that are purposefully employed to 'guide, steer, control or manage societies' in order to embrace elements of civil society that share the role and authority of formal governing institutions. Moreover, island governance arises from an ecology of distinctive factors that is shaped decisively by 'imperial' design, that is to say by the design of either a regional paramount power or, increasingly, by multilateral institutions..."

- (1) The geo-strategic role and 'imperial' connection;
 - (2) The constitutional order and political system operating within island and its neighbourhood; ...
 - (3) Dominant policy concerns, including the threats of peripherality, economic and social dislocation and ...
 - (4) Islander identity, worldview and social organization." (Ibid. p.396)
- In this context, as Jeju Island became one of special self-governance province in 2006. It may be another case of combination of the interplay of geography and history in Asian region as one of autonomous case.

In this context, I start to think about an idea of the Jeju Island Collaborative Governance Model of "United Three States of Korea UTSK: North Korea, South Korea and Jeju Island Korea", which it contributed to Peaceful Korean unification and formation of World Government in the 2nd Peace Island Forum: Jeju 4.3 and World Peace in Jeju island from April 30 to May 1, 2002.

I hope this idea will contribute to united rather than imperial connection if we can revise the Korean Constitution for actualizing it.

"I suggest a theory of World Peace Island of Jeju Island as Demilitarized Zone rather than Militarized Islands for Prosperity and Peace for Pacific Civilization upon Tolerance Philosophy of Islanders in this article. In order to reach a win-win policy for both Koreas as well as the other nations involved in the so-called "Six-Party Talks", Korean leaders for a new age of Korean unification (including President, Lee Myung-bak, the 2012 leading Presidential candidates such as Congresswoman Park Geun-hye, Congressman, Sohn Hak-kyu, Chung Se-kyun and others) must recognize a new paradigm for Korean reunification in the context of framework of peaceful coexistence of civilizations. Even though both President Lee Myung-bak and former President Roh Moo-hyun have emphasized the Swiss model for small nations to keep the peace (military armament) rather than the Costa Rican model (disarmament), the time has come for us to think seriously and incrementally about a new paradigm toward Jeju Island as Demilitarized World Peace Island. We should learn the lessons of non-militarized autonomous regions of Madeira of Portugal, Majorca of Spain, Spitz Bergen of Norway, Åland of Finland. We should create Jeju Island as World Peace Island for peaceful Pacific beyond trauma and ordeal of colonized or militarized fate of Pacific islands such as the Okinawa of Japan, the Hawaii of United States, the Sakhalin of Russia, the Hainan Dao of Mainland China. If Jeju Island will be designated as demilitarized Peace Island through international treaty by the Six-Party Talks Countries (USA, China, Japan, South Korea, North Korea, and Russia) by an international treaty of the United Nations, we should expect Jeju Island to play an important role not only in the prosperity of Pacific civilization but also peaceful coexistence between the two Koreas" (Ko 2011: 33).

In real situation, Jeju World Peace Island was in a Dilemma over Naval Base Construction since 2007. Even though Jeju became a "special self-governing province" on July 1 it 2007, its authorities face their first daunting task of resolving a controversial issue around the possible establishment of a military base on the island, which could become a potential "detonator" for social discord. The Navy announced it would resume a naval base development project in Jeju Island and will be completed until December of 2015. If we look at Okinawa case as military island, if Okinawa proves anything, it is that bases are magnets for attack. One base needs another and another. For those fighting construction of any new base, the lesson is, 'Don't let it happen here on Jeju Island.' That argument probably won't stop completion of the navy base on the island but may

keep Jeju from becoming another Okinawa (Kirk 2012).

It seems to me that the year of 2015 is a special year of Life-Peace Movement of Gangeong village. Diocese of the Cheju opened Gangeong Sang-Myung-Pyungwha Samok Centre (Center of succeeding spirit of Life-Peace Movements of Gangeong village and curing trauma of Gangeong villagers from construction of Naval base this September of 2015. Of course, Korean government is supposed to complete construction of naval base over protests and struggles against for 9 years. It is so impressive that Bishop Kang comment ‘even though naval base will be completed here soon, Gangeong village would be “a symbol of Northeast Asia Peace”’ If we do a small roll of puffed dough, that makes it rise bigger. We hope it played a role to spread its spirit like one of yeast” (August 12, 2015, Establishing Assembly).

If Korean government permit or support for Jeju to play a peaceful role such as doing para diplomatic role on behalf of it. Jeju islanders have kept in mind that NGO groups of the IUCN supported a motion of “Protection of the People, Nature, Culture and Heritage of Gangeong Village (Motion 181: IUCN)” even though it was not approved by General Assembly of it in September of 2012. Let me introduce their hope about future of their village. (miracle trip to Gangeong village: Professor Youngtaek Yoon, environmental activist interview with Gangeong villagers about uncertain fate of their village (Ko 2015).

3.2 Global Context of Jeju Island Governance of the Jeju I-20 Initiative

Korea has 16 small island developing states (SIDS) in the neighbouring Asia-Pacific region. These states have all the rights of statehood but with only limited capacity to meet the needs of their people as well as their obligations to the international community. If they are to play their part appropriately as states, they require a sympathetic international environment.

The launching of the International Year of Small Island Developing States in 2014 gave global recognition to the enormous challenges facing such states. Over the ensuing year significant resources were devoted to identifying the problems and suggesting solutions for SIDS around the globe.

The International Year came culminated at the September 2014 SIDS Conference in Apia with the SAMOA Pathway outcomes document. This action plan found that “there is a need for a more integrated approach to the sustainable development of small island developing States, with the support of the international community and all stakeholders”.

The Jeju I-20 Initiative (first one: October 1-3, 2015) seeks to contribute to the implementation of the SAMOA Pathway by building a more effective

bridge between two of the critical stakeholders in the development process – the G-20 states and the SIDS.

The G-20 has shown a willingness to embrace inclusiveness in setting the G-20 agenda through such initiatives as the B-20 to provide a channel for business perspectives; the L-20 for labor inputs and the Y-20 for generational aspirations. In the same vein, the I-20 has the potential to play an important role in helping the G-20 deliver meaningful implementation of the SAMOA Pathway.

The Jeju I-20 Initiative recognizes that the G-20 states have considerable, but often under-appreciated, experience with small island development. Virtually all members of the G-20 have at least one significant small, inhabited island within their national jurisdiction. South Africa completes the G-20 membership simply by adding environmental protection to the equation for the sustainability of small islands. By marshalling their own internal small island expertise and experience, I-20 states have much to offer the SIDS provided this is put into a context that is relevant to the SIDS. The I-20 Initiative can help to provide this context by using their own small islands for building bridges between the national I-20 jurisdictions and the SIDS.

In order to build such a bridge, however, both ends have to be secure. At one end, the national bodies need to appreciate the special adaptive expertise that their own small island communities have developed over decades of living with insularity. There also has to be willingness by national authorities to use this experience to develop appropriate sensitivity to small island needs and priorities.

The other end of the I-20 bridge will be secure when the SIDS find their interests inscribed on the annual agenda of the G-20. This will require some confidence that the G-20 states accept and understand the special needs of the SIDS.

The small island entities within the G-20 states can serve as the appropriate link by being advocates at the national level for sustainable island development while at the same time engaging with the SIDS through a parity of esteem if not of full political equality.

The Jeju Initiative to create an I-20 can promote a parity of esteem or mutual respect by providing a more equal arena for meeting the interests of both the G-20 states and the SIDS just previous initiatives such as the B-20, L-20 and Y-20 have done in their policy areas for their stakeholders.

The Jeju Initiative for an I-20 is well underway with this meeting of G-20 states with small island jurisdictions including Australia, Japan, Korea, the United States. The next meeting is scheduled for Hawaii and a third is expected for later in the year in Fiji.

If these meetings are to provide the platform for creating the network for creating an annual I-20 forum on small island sustainable development issues

to bring to the G-20, some practical work will be needed between now and the meeting in Hawaii. We will need a working party to develop national support in the G-20 countries for the I-20 concept. Ideally this will involve both sub-national leadership from G-20 small island jurisdictions (such as Jeju, Hawaii, Okinawa and Tasmania) as well as “champions” at the national level in these countries. In addition, the confidence of the SIDS themselves is vital to the success of the Jeju Initiative so representation of representatives for the SIDS should be sought as urgently as possible (Ko and Herr 2015). To actualize I-20 concept, we decided to support a proposal which Jeju Special Self-Governing Province applied its idea of “Global Green Island Summit GGIS Establishing Sustainable Islands Cooperation Framework,” to Hawaii WCC in September of 2016.

If small island states or jurisdictions make a consistent success of creating peaceful island identity, world view, and social organization, it paved the new way of creating new island governance toward civilization.

3.3 Global Context of Jeju Island Governance of UNESCO World Heritages (Ko et al. 2015)

Let's look at another example of Jeju Island Governance of UNESCO World Heritages. It is significant that on March 12, 2015, Mr. Sul Hoon, Chairperson of Education, Culture, Sports and Tourism Committee of the Korean National Assembly (the Committee) highly supported an idea of pushing the resilience of the Hanon Maar Crater as a world case project. The characteristics of the crater's geopolitical location will enable the analysis of the accumulated 50,000-year history of East Asian ancient organisms and climate data and can provide predictions for climate change. Symbolically, based on this collaboration, the Committee is working together with Mr. Ignace Schops, President of EUROPARC (the Federation) and Mr. Chang Hoon Ko, President of World Association for Island Studies (the Association) through his signature on the MOU as below:⁴

- (1) We can support and co-preside over an international conference with the Korean National Assembly on the sharing or learning of the long history of Brussels' pivotal roles and experiences of EU Parliament for EU countries and communities. Through this conference, we can

⁴ M.O.U. to support education program for Jeju Int'l Protected Areas by Mr. Sul Hoon, Chairperson of Education, Culture, Sports and Tourism Committee of the Korean National Assembly and Mr. Ignace Schops, President of EUROPARC Federation, and President of World Association for Island Studies (March 12, 2015).

also establish an idea of an Asian Union for prosperity and peace for Asian communities and countries.

- (2) The Committee, Federation, and Association have a collaborative effort of finding a pathway to climate change as a place which is vulnerable to dangerous climate change based on previous bitter experiences. As the Federation suggested the resilience work of Hanon Crater as a global world case project at Sydney World Parks Congress in November of 2014 as same as World Peace Park at the Demilitarized Zone, the three organizations support this project responding to an age of world environment.
- (3) As the Federation has the knowledge of how to manage the national parks of the EU based on the 'Reconnected Model between Nature and Society', the Committee and Association established an international educational infrastructure to protect UNESCO World Heritage as an example of exchanging educational projects of the 'Green Growth and Travelism Summer School' of Hasselt University and Jeju National University based on the Maurice Strong University Network. We can cooperate with each other to support the establishment of a global governance system through an educational program of human resources, which provides manpower for the project of management of UNESCO International Protected Areas, resilience of Hanon Maar Crater, and peace parks of demilitarized zone in Korean peninsula.⁵

This article is to explore possibilities, potentials and prospects of practicing the Jeju declaration of an integrated management of UNESCO Protected Areas in Jeju Island through international education programs. Second, although Seogwipo city completed a study of plans of Hanon Maar Crater in July of 2014 upon its approval as one of the policy motions of the 2012 WCC, it didn't get any attention from the Korean government to support its resilience project until now. Third, the chair of EUROPARC Federation supported the resilience project as a world case project at the 'Jeju UNESCO Int'l Protected Areas Workshop of 2014' and the World Parks Congress in Sydney in November of 2014. Fourth, the chair of the Committee of Education, Culture, Sports, and Tourism of the Korean National Assembly recommended the World Association for Island Studies (WAIS) to initiate the establishment of ASIAPARC Federation as a partner organization of EUROPARC Federation on March 12, 2015 at a signatory meeting of the above-mentioned MOU. As

⁵ Hoon, Ignace and Chang H. 2015. MOU for establishment of Global Education Program for Jeju UNESCO World Heritages and Resilience of Hanon Maar Crater.

soon as we establish on March 23, 2015 as ASIAPARC Federation of WAIS with assistance and partnership of EUROPARC Federation, we will try to push its resilience as a top policy agenda symbolizing island library and keeping the 50,000-year history of East Asian ancient organisms and climate data.

Let me summarize three principles of the Jeju Declaration. At the close of the WCC, the IUCN presented the Jeju Declaration which can be used as a basis for the establishment of a Green Growth Organization.

(1) Scaling up Conservation: The first part of the Jeju Declaration involves scaling-up conservation. Scaling-up is the process of reaching larger numbers in a broader area by institutionalizing effective programs. There is mounting evidence that conservation works and we must scale up actions on the land and in the sea through large, targeted conservation effort. We know that knowledge drives action, and that meaningful action and corrective measures require better knowledge about the threats to biodiversity. We must intensify our efforts to bring together information on species, habitats, ecosystems, governance and gender-differentiated human dependency on nature and provide decision makers with the tools for effective landscape and seascape management, which conserves nature and sustains people's livelihoods.

(2) Nature-based Solutions: The second part tells us that biodiversity should not be seen as a problem, but as an opportunity to help achieve broader societal goals. Nature is a major part of the solution to some of the world's most pressing challenges in climate change, sustainable energy, food security, and economic and social development. Nature-based solutions build upon the proven contribution of well-managed and diverse ecosystems to enhance human resilience and to provide additional development opportunities for men and women in poor communities. We must promote the awareness, knowledge, good governance and sustainable investment to demonstrate why good environmental stewardship is everyone's concern and how humanity is fundamentally dependent on nature.

(3) Sustainability in Action: Governments, civil society, businesses and other stakeholders must strengthen their commitment towards sustainability, taking into account its three dimensions: sustained inclusive and equitable economic growth, equitable social development and inclusion, and integrated and sustainable management of natural resources and ecosystems. Sustainability must be mainstreamed in societal decisions, supporting the full implementation of the multilateral environmental agreements, including the Rio Conventions. The transfer of green technology must also be transferred with the work of the public and the private sectors. Government and businesses alike are encouraged to pursue inclusive green growth that ensures social integration of vulnerable groups, helps eradicate poverty, and keeps

humanity's footprint within ecological boundaries (The Jeju Declaration, 2012 WCC. www.iucn.org).

We also think about the opening of a "Green Growth Organization", ASIAPARC Federation as the result of 2015 MOU at Korean National Assembly. Jeju has shown creative views on preservation issues of World Heritages at the regional level and at the grassroots level. Jeju Islanders have especially shown how they have tried to accumulate their wisdom of how to harmonize their customs and lifestyles with UNESCO World Heritage sites. In particular, Jeju had a privilege to establish an integrated management system for the UNESCO protected areas through approval of the motion of 068. As a result of the request of the World Conservation Congress, in its Resolution 052 on September 15, 2012, the opening of a "Green Growth Organization" must fulfill the following requests of the resolution:

- (1) To develop an integrated conservation management manual that includes guidelines and other prescriptions for the systematic conservation and sustainable use of ecosystems.
- (2) To develop and standardize a management system for protected areas including the integration of the different cycles for re-evaluation of designations, and to distribute it as a model for IUCN Members.
- (3) To establish cooperative programs through which international institutions collaborate on the conservation of the natural environment by establishing integrated management systems for protected areas across the world.
- (4) To request support from the United Nations organizations, states and nations to legislate integrated management laws at the national or state level for appropriate conservation, systematic integration and management of natural resources to bring about the integration of protected areas such as Biosphere Reserves, World Natural Heritage sites and Global Geopark sites (www.iucn.org).

Jeju must embody the three goals of the Jeju Declaration: "Scaling up Conservation," "Nature-based Solutions," and "Sustainability in Action." Jeju has the ability to mobilize communities to work for biodiversity conservation and sustainable development. Jeju also has the ability to halt biodiversity loss and apply nature-based solutions to conserve biodiversity, enhance resilience, and so improve the well-being of the people on the island and in turn to improve the well-being of the people on the planet.

To actualize practices of the four Jeju Motions (M067; Establishment of an Integrated Management System for UNESCO Protected Areas, M 108: Supporting the Sustainability of Jeju Haenyeos as a Unique Marine Ecology Stewardship, M 162 : The Development of an Evaluation and Certification System for World Environment Hubs and unapproved motion, M 181 : Protection of the

People, Nature, Culture and Heritage of Gangjeong Village), World Association for Island Studies WAIS and World Environment and Island Institute WEII opened four courses (Sustainability of Haenyeos' and Marine Culture, Green Growth and Travelism, Jeju Model of an Integrated Management System for UNESCO Protected Areas and April 3 Tragedy, and Conflict Resolution in the 3rd Peace Island Int'l Leadership School (PIILS) for teachers, citizens, NGOs, and IUCN members from July 22-July 31, 2013 at the Seogwipo Training Center of Jeju National University. Our creative views on preservation issues at the grassroots level were presented through a team (3-4 persons) presentation at the Global Peace Bultuk Assembly (a traditional meeting place of Jeju Women Divers at sea side) at Onpyung-ri on October 3, 2015.

Based on PIILS, we are already involved in an initiative, with likeminded colleagues, to participate in the Strong University Network SUN in 2014 for launching a World Environment University grounded in the island province of Jeju in South Korea. We will have a plan to cooperate SUN through running 2016 PIILS at Jeju National University if WEII will be selected as a responsible institute to research 'International Education System Building for UNESCO Int'l Protected Areas and Resilience of Hanon Maar Crater in Winter of 2015. I applied my proposal, the Opening of a "Green Growth Organization" of the Jeju Declaration of WCC 2012 on October 8, 2015.

New World Peace Island Policy through integrating Jeju 4.3 Reconciliation, Islands-20 Committee and Life-Peace Movement of Gangjeong Village into it. The 2nd Gangjeong Peace International Conference. Jeju Island, Korea. September 7, 2015.

Warrington, Edward, and David Milne. 2007. Island governance. In *A World of Islands*, ed. Godfrey Baldacchino, 379-428, University of Prince Edward Island, Canada.

이문영 [Lee, Moon-Young]. 2001. *인간 종교 국가: 미국행정, 청교도 정신, 그리고 마르틴 루터의 95 개조* [Man, religion, and state: American public administration, Puritanism, and Martin Luther's 95 Theses]. 서울, 대한민국: 나남출판 [Nanam Publishing House].

4. References

- Jeju Special Self-Governing Province. Global Green Islands Summit (GGIS) Establishing Sustainable Islands Cooperation Framework. 2015.
- Kirk, Donald. 2012. Battling base in the paradise. *Korea Times*. June 16, 2012.
- Ko, Chang Hoon, and Herr. 2015. Building the bridges: Implementing the Jeju I-20 Initiative. The 1st Islands-20 Initiative and the 15th Peace Island Forum. Jeju Island, Korea. October 1-3, 2015.
- Ko, Chang Hoon, Daniel Kojetin, Daejoon Kim, Jihee Kim, Kwonoh Kang. The Opening of a "Green Growth Organization" of the Jeju Declaration from WCC 2012 Actualizes as Establishment of an ASIAPARC Federation, Partnership Organization of EUROPARC Federation in 2016. *World Environment and Island Studies*. 5(2) 101-109.
- Ko, Chang Hoon. 2011. Role and status of Jeju Island as a demilitarized world peace island for sustainable pacific civilization. *World Environment and Island Studies*. 1(1): 33-50.
- Ko, Chang Hoon. 2015. Some implications and prospects of Declaration of Jeju World Peace Island by Korean Government: Next steps for